

Additive Combinatorics Sheet 1

Lent Term 2021

Instructions

- These exercises use the material developed in Chapter 1: sumset inequalities, the Balog-Szemerédi-Gowers lemma, Plünnecke's inequality, and covering results. The aim is to give you practice in working with these elementary concepts and increase your familiarity.
- There are 9 exercises, of varying (and non-monotone) difficulty and length. **You are not expected to do them all**, but I have provided 9 for the enthusiast. If you have solved any 4 then this should be sufficient evidence (for yourself) that are you where you should be.
- The examples class will be run by Aled Walker, who will mark before the class your solutions to 2 exercises, but you should submit your solutions to all the exercises.
- Work to be marked should be submitted by **9am Tuesday 16th February**. The class is **3:30pm Wednesday 17th February**.
- Dr. Walker would appreciate knowing in advance of the class which exercises you found the most challenging. To this end, please submit the Self-Assessment form on Moodle before the class (even if you have not submitted any work to be marked).

1. (a) Use Ruzsa's triangle inequality to show that if $|A + A| \leq K |A|$ then

$$|A - A| \leq K^2 |A|.$$

- (b) Use Plünnecke's inequality to show that if $|A - A| \leq K |A|$ then

$$|A + A| \leq K^2 |A|.$$

- (c) Show that for any set A

$$|A - A|^{3/4} \leq |A + A| \leq |A - A|^{4/3}.$$

[Hint: Use parts a) and b). These work well if we have good control on K . What bounds do you know that work even if we don't have control on K ? Can you combine them?]

- (d) Show that the exponent of 2 in part (a) is best possible by considering

$$A = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d : \sum x_i \leq n \right\}$$

for large n and d . (Don't worry about rigorously calculating/showing this, but try to roughly see why this example works.)

2. Recall that if $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies $|A + A| = 2|A| - 1$ then A must be an arithmetic progression. In this exercise we will prove Vosper's theorem, which is the analogous result in \mathbb{F}_p .

Let $A, B \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ be sets such that $|A|, |B| \geq 2$ and $|A + B| = |A| + |B| - 1 \leq p - 2$.

- (a) Show that if either A or B is an arithmetic progression then the other must be an arithmetic progression with the same step.

- (b) Show that if $A + B$ is an arithmetic progression then A and B must both also be arithmetic progressions of the same step. [Hint: Consider $C - B$ where C is the complement of $A + B$.]
- (c) Using the previous two parts and induction on $|B|$, prove that in fact A and B are always arithmetic progressions of the same step. [Hint: What happens in our proof of the Cauchy-Davenport lemma if equality holds?]
3. Show that if $|A + B| \leq K|A|$ then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is $X \subset A$ such that $|X| \geq (1 - \epsilon)|A|$ and

$$|X + kB| \leq \epsilon^{-k} K^k |X|.$$

[Hint: What does Plünnecke's inequality give you? What happens if you remove the X given by Plünnecke from A and apply the inequality again?]

4. In Plünnecke's inequality we go from a bound $|A + B| \leq K|A|$ to a bound on $|X + B + B|$ for some unspecified $X \subset A$. This exercise asks what kind of bounds we can expect for $|A + B + B|$ itself.
- (a) Show that if $|A + B| \leq K|A|$ then there exists $A' \subsetneq A$ such that

$$|A + B + B| \leq |A' + B + B| + K^2(|A| - |A'|).$$

- (b) Let B be a fixed set and $M \geq 1$ also be fixed. Show that for any $N \geq M/|B + B|^{1/2}$, for all A such that $|A| \leq N$ and $|A + B| \leq M$ we have

$$|A + B + B| \leq 3M|B + B|^{1/2} - \frac{M^2}{N}.$$

[Hint: Use induction on N .]

- (c) Deduce that, for any sets A and B , if $|A + B| \leq K|A|$ then

$$|A + B + B| \ll K^2 |A|^{3/2}.$$

- (d) Show that the previous bound is best possible, in that there exist arbitrarily large A and B with $|A + B| \ll |A|$ and $|A + B + B| \gg |A|^{3/2}$. [Hint: You might want to look at finite subsets of \mathbb{Z}^3 formed by taking the union of truncated 'lines' and 'planes'.]
5. Show that the following are equivalent 'up to polynomial losses', in that if one property holds with parameter K then the others hold with parameters $K^{O(1)}$:

- (a) $|A + A| \leq K|A|$,
- (b) there exists B such that $|A + B| \leq K|A|^{1/2}|B|^{1/2}$,
- (c) there exists a symmetric set H containing the origin such that $H + H \subset H + X$ for some $|X| \leq K$, and $A \subset x + H$ for some x , and $|A| \geq K^{-1}|H|$.

(Such an H is called a K -approximate group, and understanding the structure of these in non-abelian groups in particular is a vibrant area of current research. This exercises shows that understanding sets of small doubling is equivalent to understanding approximate groups.)

6. Show that if $|A + A - A - A| < 2|A|$ then $A - A$ is a group.
7. Adapt the proof of the Balog-Szemerédi-Gowers lemma to show to your satisfaction that the following asymmetric version holds: Let $E(A, B)$ count the number of solutions to $a_1 + b_1 = a_2 + b_2$ with $a_1, a_2 \in A$ and $b_1, b_2 \in B$. Show that if $E(A, B) \geq K^{-1}|A|^{3/2}|B|^{3/2}$ then there exist $A' \subset A$ and $B' \subset B$ such that
- (a) $|A'| \gg K^{-1}|A|$,
- (b) $|B'| \gg K^{-1}|B|$, and

(c) $|A' - B'| \ll K^{O(1)} |A|^{1/2} |B|^{1/2}$.

8. (a) Prove the following generalisation of Plünnecke's inequality: if we have h sets B_1, \dots, B_h such that $|A + B_i| \leq K_i |A|$ for $1 \leq i \leq h$ then there is an $X \subset A$ such tht

$$|X + B_1 + \dots + B_h| \ll_h K_1 \dots K_h |X|$$

and in particular

$$|B_1 + \dots + B_h| \ll_h K_1 \dots K_h |A|.$$

[The easiest way is to use Plünnecke's inequality as a black box, and apply it to $B = \cup(B_i + T_i)$ where T_i are sets of some suitably chosen size such that the sums $y + t_1 + \dots + t_h$ are disjoint for all $y \in A + B_1 + \dots + B_h$ and $t_i \in T_i$.]

- (b) By considering what happens if we replace A by $A \times \dots \times A$ and B_i by $B_i \times \dots \times B_i$ show that the second conclusion can be upgraded to

$$|B_1 + \dots + B_h| \leq K_1 \dots K_h |A|.$$

9. This exercise shows how to improve the exponent in the Balog-Szemerédi-Gowers lemma. This proof is due to Schoen (2014) and the exponent of K^3 here remains the best known - if you can do any better on the exponent by any method, that would be big news!

Fix some set A such that $E(A) \geq K^{-1} |A|^3$.

- (a) Let $G \subset A^2$ be the set of pairs (a, b) such that $1_A \circ 1_A(a - b) < cE(A)/|A|^2$. Show that there exists some $\frac{1}{4K} \leq \lambda < 1$ such that if

$$S = \{x : \lambda |A| < 1_A \circ 1_A(x) \leq 2\lambda |A|\}$$

then

$$\sum_{(a,b) \in G} |(A - a) \cap (A - b) \cap S| \leq 8c\lambda^2 |S| |A|^2.$$

[Hint: Consider λ of the form 2^{-i} and sum the quantities on both sides over an appropriate range of i .]

- (b) By considering X of the form $A \cap (A + s)$ where s is chosen uniformly at random from S , show that there is $X \subset A$ of size $|X| \gg K^{-1} |A|$ such that for all but at most $c|X|^2$ many pairs $(a, b) \in X^2$ we have

$$1_A \circ 1_A(a - b) \gg cK^{-1} |A|.$$

- (c) Deduce that there exists $A' \subset A$ with $|A'| \gg K^{-1} |A|$ and $|A' - A'| \ll K^3 |A|$.